



SYNTHETIC WORKFLOW DEMONSTRATION

Scope Change Control Assistant

Original agreement · explicit variation decision

THE WORKFLOW PROBLEM

Small client requests can quietly change deliverables, timing or cost when the original agreement is not visible at the decision point.

WHAT THIS DEMONSTRATION SHOWS

This demo uses fictional scope-change requests to show impact review and approval paths. Scores are illustrative and do not change an agreement.

FOLLOW THE DECISION

1. Compare the new request with the original agreed scope.
2. Inspect impact assumptions, evidence and the next responsible owner.
3. Record a simulated review state; leave the commercial decision to an authorised person.

TRY THE SAMPLE WORKFLOW

Review a request with unclear impact. Identify the missing evidence and approval path, then reset; the original scope must not silently change.

WHAT THIS DOES NOT PROVE OR DO

No variation, price, timeline, contract or invoice is approved or changed. A saved demo review is not a signed commercial commitment.

BEFORE A COMMISSIONED IMPLEMENTATION

Bind the actual agreement, variation authority, impact/pricing method, decision record and acceptance process before connecting delivery or billing systems.

EXPLORE THE WORKFLOW AND ENGAGEMENT

Proof context: <https://www.pureenergy.systems/case-studies/scope-change-control-assistant>

Demo destination: <https://scope.pureenergy.systems>

How engagements work: <https://www.pureenergy.systems/how-engagements-work>

Synthetic inputs. Human decisions. No client-result or production-readiness claim.

This handout describes a synthetic demonstration, not a client deployment or measured result. A real implementation would require separately agreed scope, access where required, acceptance criteria and support arrangements.