



SYNTHETIC WORKFLOW DEMONSTRATION

Internal Knowledge Assistant

Visible sources · explicit abstention

THE WORKFLOW PROBLEM

An answer that sounds confident is unhelpful when its supporting instruction is missing, outdated or contradictory.

WHAT THIS DEMONSTRATION SHOWS

This demo uses authored answers and fictional source records to show when an answer can be reviewed or should be withheld. It does not search private documents or call a live AI model.

FOLLOW THE DECISION

1. Choose a sample question and inspect the associated source IDs.
2. Review source status, date, limitations and the stated answer.
3. Observe abstention when a source is unavailable, draft, review-required or conflicting.

TRY THE SAMPLE WORKFLOW

Compare an answer with current evidence against a blocked source scenario. Inspect the reason for abstention instead of substituting a plausible answer.

WHAT THIS DOES NOT PROVE OR DO

No private drive, chat or knowledge store is connected. A current label alone does not resolve a conflict, and fixture answers are not retrieval-quality evidence.

BEFORE A COMMISSIONED IMPLEMENTATION

Agree authoritative sources, access, freshness, conflict handling, retrieval/evaluation tests and an accountable escalation route before enabling an actual assistant.

EXPLORE THE WORKFLOW AND ENGAGEMENT

Proof context: <https://www.pureenergy.systems/case-studies/internal-knowledge-assistant>

Demo destination: <https://knowledge.pureenergy.systems>

How engagements work: <https://www.pureenergy.systems/how-engagements-work>

Synthetic inputs. Human decisions. No client-result or production-readiness claim.

This handout describes a synthetic demonstration, not a client deployment or measured result. A real implementation would require separately agreed scope, access where required, acceptance criteria and support arrangements.